Income our Decemen. A-Z

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MORRIS TOMCHIN

Affidavit of Mailing of Notice of Decision, by Registered Mail

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax
Law for the year(s) 1962 & 1963

State of New York County of Albany

LYNN HORODOWICH , being duly sworn, deposes and says, that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, and that on the 10th day of September, 1969, she served the within Notice of Decision (or of "Determination") by registered mail upon Morris Tomchin

the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Morris Tomchin, 84-14 Bell Boulevard, Queens Village 27, NY and by delivering the same at Room 214a, Building 8, Campus, Albany, marked "REGISTERED MAIL" to a messenger of the Mail Room, Building 9, Campus, Albany, to be mailed by registered mail.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

10th day ofSeptember, 1969.

Grace & Pritchard

Lynn A Harodowich

To be submitted to the Commission, upon your approval.

August 18, 1969

LAN: jw

From Lawrence A. Newman

Aucome Cax Betermine.

Tomopin Morred DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

MEMORANDUM

TO: FROM: SUBJECT:

Mr. Edward Rook Lawrence Newman Default Orders

DATE August 18, 1969 OFFICE Hearing Room

(1) Melvin W. and Carmen L. Jaquier Art. 22 Year 1961

- (2) Rose Hurtis Art. 22 Year 1961
- (3)Nicola and Clementine Orlando Art. 23 Year 1961
- (4) Frank Igneri Art. 22 Years 1961 and 1963
- (5) Max Tomchin Art. 22 Years 1962 and 1963
- .(6) Morris Tomchin Years 1962 and 1963 Art. 22

The following facts apply to the cases under consideration:

- (1) A notice to appear for a formal hearing was sent to the taxpayer and his representative at the last addresses known to the Income Tax Bureau.
- (2) Neither the taxpayer nor his representative appeared at the time of the hearing, and no one has contacted the hearing officer in any manner to explain the lack of an appearance.
- (3) The original determination and related papers were reviewed for the possibility of discovering patent error or illegality.
- (4) Most of the cases contain a history of noncompliance with Department requests for information, and there is suspicion in such cases that the taxpayer is at least partly motivated to delay collection efforts seemingly without merit.

- (5) A short-form order of default has been prepared which denies the taxpayer's petition by reason of his default and will serve to permit resumption of collection activities.
- (6) If the taxpayer or his representative requests a reopening of the case within a reasonable time, a hearing will be scheduled for the purpose of examining the merits of the petition fully. This is not expected to occur, except under very unusual circumstances.

I offer these six default orders for the approval of the Commission.

LAWRENCE NEWMAN Hearing Officer

LAN: jw

STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DEFAULT ORDER

MORRIS TOMCHIN

Concerning Personal Income Tax(es): Pursuant to Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year(s) 1962 and : 1963

A formal hearing having been scheduled on June 6, 1969 at 9:30 A.M. at the offices of the State Tax Commission in the City of New York, New York upon the petition of the taxpayer, and the taxpayer having failed to appear after being duly notified thereof:

Now on motion of the attorney for the Department of Taxation and Finance,

THE STATE TAX COMMISSION hereby decides that the taxpayer has defaulted at said scheduled hearing, and that the petition is denied for his non-appearance.

Dated: Albany, New York, this 9th day of September 1969.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

DRESTORME

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER